

**MINUTES  
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
MONDAY, JUNE 14, 2021  
4:00 P.M.**

The Planning and Zoning Commission met in regular session on Monday, June 14, 2021. Present were Commission members Janice Steele, Rodney McCullough, Kent Eastman, Drew Kimble (on Phone), Wendy Bruckner-Sears, Mary Anne Phillips and Amia Warren. Keegan Stanton and Lindsay Dunn represented the Planning, Development, and Neighborhood Services Department.

1. Roll Call:

Ms. Dunn called the roll.

2. Approval of the May 10, 2021 Minutes:

**MR. EASTMAN MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. MCCULLOUGH TO APPROVE THE MAY 10, 2021 MINUTES. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MR. EASTMAN, MR. KIMBLE, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING "AYE". (7 IN FAVOR)**

3. Public Hearing: Ms. Bruckner-Sears read the Public Hearing Procedures.

Case 029-21: 1827 & 1831 S Wall Ave. - A request to remove from District R-1 (Single-family Residential) and include in District C-O (Non-retail Commercial) for future office and residential development – Seth Dermott and Andrew Solomon.

Mr. Eastman abstained from this case.

Seth Dermott, 424 N. Sergeant, Joplin, MO. Originally, we bought this fire station from the city and it was R-1 and we bought the lot to the south. We would like to make it into a commercial property. Potentially doing something that with an apartment on top level and some commercial.

Ms. Phillips asked Mr. Dermott to refresh her memory. Last month you were here you only had one lot, the fire station lot and it didn't have enough room? Since then you have bought the lot next to it?

Mr. Dermott stated that was correct. We had owned the lot to the south of it and now we are including it so it has enough area to be commercial property.

Ms. Phillips stated that now it qualifies to have enough acreage?

Mr. Stanton stated it did. Each lot individually is a little over 5,000 sq. ft. and the minimum lot size for commercial property is 10,000 sq. ft. Together they will have enough square footage.

Ms. Phillips asked if they had anyone protest.

Mr. Stanton stated there were not any protest. He did have a phone call from a nearby church, and they seemed supportive.

Mr. Kimble wanted to know, on the southern-most lot, is that just going to have office space and things of that nature, no retail or that type of businesses?

Mr. Dermott stated that they really didn't know. They think it will probably be just parking.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor of this case? There was not. Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in opposition to this case? There was not.

**MR. MCCULLOUGH MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. PHILLIPS THAT CASE 029-21 BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. KIMBLE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING "AYE", MR. EASTMAN ABSTAINED, (6 IN FAVOR, 1 ABSTAINED)**

Case 030-21: 1917 Carolyn Pl. – A request to review the site plan for future office development – CASA

Deborah Koelkebeck, 3407 Cedar Ridge Road, Joplin, MO. CASA, a 501-C-3 nonprofit, is here for a site plan review. CASA had purchased the four lots on the east side of Carolyn Place, with the intention of building an office, training center, and a place for connection with the children.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if the commissioners had any questions?

Mr. Kimble stated he did, and he was looking at the map and it looks like there is one residential property and the possibility of residential across the street. He is wondering how that will be affected down the cul-de-sac with offices and training center?

Ms. Koelkebeck stated that the zoning for all that is commercial planned development. That house is currently a rental house and Carolyn Place is a vacated street.

Mr. Stanton gave Mr. Kimble some history. After the tornado went through the single home in the rear was re-built prior to the properties being rezoned to C-3-PD. To the west there is C-3- PD all the way to the Library.

Ms. Phillips stated she did have a question on the parking. Do you consider the front of the building facing Carolyn Place?

Ms. Koelkebeck said no it will be 20th Street.

Ms. Phillips stated ok and that answers my question.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor of this case?

Doug Lawson, 1744 SE Murphy Blvd., Joplin, MO. We are immediately behind the cul-de-sac and I am very much in favor of CASA and what they do and very much in favor of allowing them to build there. I do have a concern about water run off and my neighbor will talk about that.

Dana Parker and Billy Parker, 1808 SE Murphy Blvd., Joplin, MO. We agree with Mr. Lawson, CASA is fine. Our major problem is with the storm water runoff. Our yard takes the brunt of everything from the church and they were allowed to build drainage pipes that aim right at our yard. We were told that the church had offered to allow CASA to use their stormwater drainage system. It pipes into our yard with causes our basement to flood. As a result, we have a lot of water that we should not have in our yard. That is our main concern is the stormwater drainage.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears stated that CASA does have plans with a berm and a pond.

Mr. Stanton stated that part of the CASA plan they are showing onsite detention on the north side of the property. The detention features of the site plan are supposed to meet the pre-development flow of the water off the property. The detention pond is supposed to slow down the rate that the water will exit the property.

Mr. Parker stated that it is worse now and our basement has been flooded four times since the tornado.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears stated that with these plans they are proposing berm and some of these items on the plans are not currently in place. We would like for you to look at the retention plans and see what they are proposing.

Dwight Brennfoerder, 1902 N Park Ave., Joplin, Mo. I am the architect of record for the CASA Project. There are four minor things with the condition of the development. One of them is the plants and we will have trees as required per code. Second is the parking and as you can see it is perpendicular off the side of the street which is not allowed on any city street. We are showing perpendicular parking because it is not a city street as it was vacated. There will be a written agreement with the neighbor about the dimensions, maintenance and use of Carolyn Place. So, it is understood who functions it and how it is used and how it is maintained. Third is water retention pond. The water retention is an issue and at first, we thought the church retention would take care of the entire area and recently we discovered this isn't the case. We do have new plans for the CASA development. The fourth thing to address is privacy. Commercial zoning normally has separation with residential properties. In this case CASA and the church are very closely associated, they don't want a fence, berm, they don't want landscaping buffer and the church will be sharing the parking lot with CASA when necessary. They would rather have a sidewalk to join then not a gate or landscaping. Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in opposition to this case? There was not.

MR. MCCULLOUGH MOVED, SECONDED BY MS. PHILLIPS THAT CASE 030-21 BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MR. EASTMAN, MR. KIMBLE, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING "AYE". (7 IN FAVOR)

4. Old Business: None.
5. New Business:

Case 031-21: Final Plat Review – A request to review the Final Plat of Eagles Edge Phase 2 located at the end of public right-of-way of W. 32<sup>nd</sup> St. – Ledford Construction & Development, LLC.

Logan Ellis, Anderson Engineering presenting this final plat of Eagles Edge Phase 2. It contains 17 new lots over 5 ½ acres.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if the Commissioners had any questions. They did not.

Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor of this case? There was not. Ms. Bruckner-Sears asked if there was anyone in the audience who would like to speak in opposition to this case? There was not.

**MS. STEELE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. EASTMAN THAT CASE 031-21 BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MR. EASTMAN, MR. KIMBLE, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING “AYE”. (7 IN FAVOR)**

6. Other Business:

Election of Officer vacancies.


Mr. McCullough nominated Ms. Bruckner-Sears for Chairperson and Mr. Eastman for Vice Chairperson, which would become vacant should Ms. Bruckner-Sears be voted into the position of Chairperson.

**MR. MCCULLOUGH MOVED, SECONDED BY MS. STEELE THAT MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS BE CHAIRPERSON AND MR. EASTMAN BE VICE CHAIR. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MR. EASTMAN, MR. KIMBLE, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING “AYE”. (7 IN FAVOR)**

7. Adjournment:


**MS. STEELE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. MCCULLOUGH TO ADJOURN. MOTION CARRIED, WITH MS. BRUCKNER-SEARS, MS. STEELE, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MR. EASTMAN, MR. KIMBLE, MS. PHILLIPS, AND MS. WARREN VOTING “AYE”. (7 IN FAVOR)**

Approved



Wendy Bruckner-Sears, Chairperson

Approved



Lindsay Dunn, Recording Secretary